IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA ROANOKE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	
)	Criminal Action No. 7:08-cr-00031
V.)	
)	By: Elizabeth K. Dillon
RICHARD TYRONE MCMILLAN)	United States District Judge

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Before the court is defendant Richard Tyrone McMillan's *pro se* motion for early termination of supervised release. (Dkt. No. 351.) The government has not filed an objection to the motion. Neither party requested a hearing, and the court finds that a hearing is not necessary to resolve McMillan's motion. The court will grant McMillan's motion.¹

I. BACKGROUND

On September 30, 2008, McMillan was found guilty after a jury trial to possession of a stolen firearm (Count 3) and possession of a firearm by a convicted felon (Count 5). (Dkt. No. 115.) He was sentenced to 120 months (Count 3) and 180 months (Count 5) imprisonment to be served concurrently followed by 60 months' supervised release on each count to run concurrently. (Dkt. No. 142.) Mr. McMillan began his term of supervision on May 7, 2021.

On January 25, 2024, McMillan filed a *pro se* motion for early termination of his remaining supervised release term. McMillan has complied with the terms of his supervised release, has maintained a stable home with his family, and supports himself by working various handyman jobs. All fines and fees related to his underlying offense conduct have been paid in full.

¹ A hearing is not required by the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure because the relief sought is favorable to defendant and the government received notice and did not file an objection. Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.1(c)(2).

II. ANALYSIS

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3583(e), a court may, after considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), terminate a term of supervised release at any time after the expiration of one year of supervised release if it is satisfied that such action is warranted by the conduct of the defendant and the interest of justice. As at sentencing, courts consider several factors, including the nature and circumstances of the offense, the history and characteristics of the defendant, the need for the sentence imposed to afford adequate deterrence, and the need to protect the public from further crimes of the defendant. 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a).

Courts have discretion whether to grant early termination of supervised release, even when the conditions for termination are met. *Folks v. United States*, 733 F. Supp. 2d 649, 651 (M.D.N.C. 2010). In addition, the inquiry is broader than the individual's conduct. *United States v. Pregent*, 190 F.3d 279, 282–83 (4th Cir. 1999). "Circumstances that justify early discharge have included exceptionally good behavior that makes the previously imposed term of supervised release 'either too harsh or inappropriately tailored to serve' general punishment goals." *Folks*, 733 F. Supp. 2d at 651 (quoting *United States v. Lussier*, 104 F.3d 32, 36 (2d Cir. 1997)). Neither the passage of time nor full compliance with the terms of supervised release are sufficient bases to warrant early termination. *United States v. Ferris*, Case No. 5:14-CR-0008, 2021 WL 1588972, at *2 (W.D. Va. Apr. 22, 2021).

While McMillan's offense was serious, he has now served his sentence and half of his supervised release term.

The court finds that there is no longer a need to protect the public or to deter McMillan from committing further crimes through the ongoing imposition of release conditions. For these reasons, the court will grant McMillan's motion for early termination of his supervised release.

III. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, McMillan's motion for early termination of supervised release (Dkt. No. 351) will be granted. The court will issue an appropriate order.

Entered: February 2, 2024.

/s/Elizabeth K. Dillon Elizabeth K. Dillon

United States District Judge